

Supply Chain Vulnerability and Mitigation Strategy of the Manufacturing Firms in Indonesia: Manager's Perspectives

Rofyanto Kurniawan*, Suhaiza Zailani
 School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia,
 11800, Penang, Malaysia Tel: 04 6533888 ext 2531
 *EMAIL: rofyk@yahoo.com

Abstract: Recent supply chain accidents due to epidemics, terrorist attacks, and severe earthquake in Haiti killing thousands of people, as well as typhoon over Manila causing flood to wash out millions worth of property, indicate that firms are vulnerable in today's highly integrated supply chains. Global financial crisis started in the mid 2007 has also brought further implications on supply chain problems. As economic recession reached the bottom line, manufacturing managers experienced a different kind of risks exposing supply chain vulnerability. The effectiveness of supply chain mitigation strategy, which provide a greater ability to respond volatile circumstances is an immediate important. This study explores the perspectives of managers in the manufacturing firms on supply chain vulnerability and mitigation measures within Indonesian environment. The result reveals that supply chain vulnerability is a relatively new concept for them. However, their firms have implemented certain mitigation actions to avoid fatality in the supply chain network.

Keywords: Supply chain vulnerability, mitigation strategy, manufacturing sector, Indonesia context

I. Introduction

Supply chain consists of a number of firms across different boundary activities to form a vertical structure as a network. The members of this network depends each others in value chain activities to achieve competitiveness in delivering the product to the customers [1]. Supply chain is exposed not only to the risks that come from external environment, but also the risks caused by suboptimal interaction between the organisations within the network [2] [3]. The extension of supply chain into international context has added the complexity of this network to make it more vulnerable to disruptions [4] [5]. Business environment that has become so dynamic, as well as supply chain development into more efficient structure tend to exacerbate the impact of supply chain risks.

Supply chain as a vertical structure is in fact dynamic networks of interconnected firms and industries with a tendency to focus on common objective [6] [7] [8]. Relationships between supply chain members are dependence on efficient and reliable structure, that link the process from the initial assembly of raw material until the

distribution of final product [9] [10]. The visibility of this structure is beyond the capabilities of individual manager or even single organization to address it [11] [12]. Accordingly, it could be concluded that supply chain as a whole, is vulnerable not only from external factors but also from internal factors within the network. Whatever, it exceed the managerial reach of a single firm as each firm in the network is a part function of other firms [13] [14]. In supply chain, the management of a single firm and inter-dependence between firms must be in line to achieve not only individual but also mutual goals.

The cost of natural disasters in Indonesia such as Tsunami and earthquake is enormous. The cost generation of Aceh's Tsunami in 2004 reached Rp 5 trillion, while the total loss of earthquake in Indonesia between 2006 and 2009 has reached almost Rp 6 trillion [15]. Terrorist attacks at JW Marriott and Ritz-Charlton Hotels in July 2009 has increased the uncertainty influencing market risk and business confidence. Under utilized production capacity because of global crisis has become a challenge to maintain business continuity. The implementation vulnerability mitigation strategy in a recession period has raised a new level of importance.

II. Environmental Impediment

Indonesia is often referred to as the world's largest archipelago, a name which aptly represents its 17,508 islands which span eastward from Sabang in northern Sumatera to Merauke in Irian Jaya. Several areas are located in the hinterland surrounding by mountains, which are very difficult to reach. This geographic typology raises specific problem for supply chain resulting complication for the integration of material procurement, manufacturing, and product distribution. Manufacturing must be located at strategic location to bridge raw material delivery and product distribution. Firms operated in west side needs to travel more than 6,300 km to reach the most eastern region. This situation has witnessed the present of local wholesalers as a part of supply chain network in distributing the product to remote area.

In an archipelago country, product distribution to the customers poses various barriers due to infrastructure, transportation, and geographical difficulty. A long distance

to reach the customers and the use of several modes of transportation may raise transaction costs. Congestion problems at the port specifically during big waves and Hari Raya are common phenomena. In February 2008, coal supplies to some power plants in Java have been stopped, as ships cannot depart from Sumatra ports because of big waves. This power crunch has caused the blackout in Java and Bali due to an electricity deficit.

Infrastructure availability in distributing the materials and products plays an important factor in the supply chain efficiency. Wahyu Tunggono, Head of the Relation Department in Indonesia Supply Chain and Logistics Association stated that Indonesia’s main area of weakness in supply chain activities is its infrastructure, especially ports and road. In many occasions such as Hari Raya, winnie seasons, or dry seasons, the supplies for certain products and commodities could not meet the demand. The gap in product price could range very widely between regions depends on their accessibility.


Inflation in Indonesia is still relatively high compared to other Asean countries, even compared to the Philippines, which is also an archipelago country (Table 1). This means that product distribution and product availability are still a problem in Indonesia islands. Inflation in Indonesia reached 11.7% in 2008 compared to Singapore (4.3%), Malaysia (4.4%), Thailand (0.4%), and the Philippine (8%). High inflation is correlated to higher interest rate to finance business activities that may reduce competitiveness.

Table 1. Inflation in ASEAN

Countries	2005	2006	2007	2008
Thailand	5,8%	3,5%	3,2%	0,4%
Singapore	0,5%	1,0%	2,1%	4,3%
Malaysia	3,5%	3,1%	2,4%	4,4%
Philippine	6,7%	4,3%	3,9%	8,0%
Indonesia	17,1%	6,6%	6,6%	11,1%

Source: International Financial Statistics, 2009

Supply chain in this wide archipelago country is very vulnerable to transportation disruptions. The change in fuel prices and exchange rate volatility could easily erode the firm competitiveness. Moreover, the volatility of Rupiah is higher compared to other ASEAN’s currency at average, which made the decision on purchasing time critically important (Figure 1). Purchasing and warehousing strategies will have essential role in maintaining product price stability and product availability in the market.



Source: Bloomberg, 2009

Figure 1. Rupiah Volatility VS ASEAN Average

Indonesia islands are also located within two active mountain belts, the Pacific mountain belt and the Alpide mountain belt (Figure 2). The pacific mountain belt, which is called the Pacific Ring of Fire, is the place of 90% of the world's earthquakes and 80% of the world's largest earthquakes. While the second most active seismic belt is the Alpide belt extending from Java to Sumatra through the Himalayas, the Mediterranean, and out into the Atlantic, referring to 17% of the world's largest earthquakes [16]. This condition has made Indonesia is often exposed to earthquakes, mount disruptions, and some times Tsunami. As a consequence, infrastructure development in Indonesia becomes more expensive to mitigate the risk.



Source: The World Atlas 2009

Figure 2. The Pacific Ring of Fire

Indonesia is affected by three weather systems: the Indian Ocean Dipole, the Pacific Ocean system with El Nino, and the Asian monsoon. The Indian dipole creates a reversal of the sea-surface temperature gradient and winds along the equatorial region of the Indian Ocean, bringing cooler water to the east [17]. Heavy rains and regular floods in western Indonesia is the impact of the Indian Ocean dipole. The conjunction of these three systems together has made the weather is unstable and difficult to predict causing riskier sea transportation.

III. Problem Statements

There are various problems associated to supply chain vulnerability that need further investigations. Research problems in this study come from unique geographical condition of Indonesia, theoretical gap, and unexplored area in supply chain vulnerability concept. These three research problems are inter-connected to generate an urgency need to develop framework that links supply chain vulnerability and mitigation strategy.

There are several impediments in the implementation of supply chain activities in Indonesia. Geographical problems include wide-coverage area spreading over thousands of islands, location at the conjunction of two active mountain belts, and unpredictable weather causing floods and sea transportation disruptions. Economic problems consist of high inflation, volatile exchange rate, and infrastructure handy cap that could easily erode competitiveness.

In the literature, a more detail and structured approach to conceptualizing supply chain vulnerability could be found only within last decade. Perrow [30] analyzes the complexity and dependence in the supply chain that allows unexpected risk interaction to defeat the safety of the system. His study highlights the importance of redundancy and safety measures in the supply chain strategy to reduce vulnerability. Nevertheless, the analysis focuses on redundancy strategy without considering supply chain development toward efficiency.

Supply chain vulnerability requires further development both in term of the concept, exploratory studies, and empirical analysis [18]. The fuel protest in 2000 followed by foot and mouth disease as well as terrorist attack in 2001 have attracted the recognition on supply chain vulnerability. The impact and scale of disruptions have become the threat for the continuity of supply chain operation [19]. The lack of concept in supply chain vulnerability means that a valid and reliable framework for the analysis needs to be tested in various environments. The concept must be applicable in many countries, industries, and areas to see its universality.

Svensson [31] proposes conceptual framework of supply chain vulnerability based on empirical analysis of 118 firms in retail, furniture, and pre-manufactured industries. This study is limited to in-bound manufacturer logistics focusing on supplier performance in product delivery. Supply chain vulnerability is defined as the vast amount of existing variations of components and materials that the ultimate consumer may desire, which at the same time is relying on a short interval of time for delivery. Svensson [32] extends the research to include out-bound logistics in the analysis of supply chain vulnerability within automotive industry. The survey indicates that supply chain vulnerability is the result of efforts to improve efficiency through the elimination of


waste and redundancy. In spite of the extension of the study into out-bound logistics, the researcher acknowledges that the findings could not be generalized since the samples only come from single industry.

Wagner and Bode [33] perform empirical analysis on 760 firms in Germany to find the relationships between the drivers of supply chain vulnerability and supply chain risks. The results indicate that certain supply chain characteristics tend to exacerbate risks to put supply chain into a vulnerable position. The study has been focused on the drivers of supply chain vulnerability, while the relationships between supply chain vulnerability and mitigation efforts have not been analyzed. As overall framework relationships of vulnerability mitigation strategy with its antecedents have not been explored in detail. Currently, the importance of vulnerability mitigation in supply chains is increasing since logistic flows in many industries require efficient, effective, and responsive [20]. Unfortunately, supply chain development and its risk consequences in a package of supply chain mitigation strategy have not been fully examined.

IV. Supply Chain Vulnerability

Globalization creates unforeseen demand, supply source opportunity, and intense competition because of fast product movements. Each firm has to consider the entry of foreign products, as well as the advent of foreign competitors in their territories. The distance has streaming significantly and the flows of goods between countries have increased sharply. Supply chain has become more complex as a result of globalization and outsourcing activities [13] [21]. In accordance, technology development has accelerated the speed of innovation to shorter product life cycle. Each firm has to deal with shorter trend as the new source of uncertainty in supply chain since the demand becomes more volatile.

The global crisis started in the mid of 2007 has significant influence on the implementation of supply chain strategy. Many firms are struggling to face a down turn in demand volume, fluctuating commodity price including oil price, and the pressure to reduce inventories. Aberdeen Group survey reveals that from 2008 to 2009 the top cause of supply chain disruption was sharp reduction in customer demand. This result is indeed different from survey from 2007 to 2008 that indicates supplier capacity was the main source of disruptions. The ensuing global crisis has led to increase awareness of the importance in monitoring financial condition of the suppliers. Key supplier's financial standing has been deteriorated because of insufficient incomes and influent cash flow. The focus has been switched to mitigate the impact of higher forecasts, inventory surplus, and customer order cancellation.



Source: Top Causes SC Disruptions 2008-2009, Aberdeen, 2009

Figure 3. Sources of Supply Chain Disruptions 2008-2009

The adoption of lean management turns into a requirement for worldwide manufacturers as the competition tension is increasing. However, a continued trend to leaning-down in supply chain development has also put supply chain network into a vulnerable position [22] [23]. Supply chain vulnerability is not only the result of environmental factors, but the supply chain design itself has significant contribution [24]. The challenge is to manage and mitigate risk through balancing between efficiency and reliability. This study will reviewed whether several vulnerability mitigation strategy concepts proposed by several researchers are still relevant to answer current Indonesia's environment.

Vulnerability mitigation strategy should complement supply chain strategy to face turbulence markets in an effort to maintain business continuity. Interestingly, there is no study exploring supply chain vulnerability specifically within Indonesia context. Although a survey performed by Vanany, Zailani, and Rusdiansyah [34] has been intended to measure the impact of supply chain risks in Indonesian manufacturing, their study does not discuss the concept of supply chain vulnerability. Their study reveals that Indonesian managers have quiet limited scope on the implementation of risk management in supply chain due to various constraints including knowledge and organizational limitations.

V. Theoretical Approaches

The objective of a firm's strategy is to achieve competitive advantage. The collaboration between firms has replaced single firm's strategy to gain a competitive position in the market place [25] [1]. A firm may create competitive advantage either by reducing the cost of individual value chain or by reconfiguring overall value chain activities [26]. A value chain is a sequence of activities where the products pass through the links within the chain to gain product value at each activity. The chain of activities gives the products more added value than the sum of added values of all activities. The goal of these chain activities is to provide the

customer a level of value that exceeds the cost of the activities, thereby resulting in a profit margin.

There are trade-offs between efficiency and reliability in the search for competitive advantage. Adopting lean management may improve the firm competitiveness. Nevertheless, a small disruption in the supply chain system could threat the survival of the firms within the network. A firm must compensate certain degree of efficiency to maintain overall reliability. Supply chain vulnerability mitigation strategy should incorporate lean and effectiveness, as well as risk mitigation measure. The most efficient supply chain system may not provide reliability. Contingency theory argues that the best strategy to manage the firm is non-existed. A strategy that fit in some situations may not appropriate in others [27]. The optimal management style is contingent upon various internal and external environmental constraints. Reliability must be compensated through mitigation strategy although adds costs to maintain sustainable profit.

VI. Research Methodology

Scholars have been very interested to understand the present of supply chain vulnerability. This study uses interviews to obtain information of supply chain vulnerability and mitigation strategy within Indonesian industry. Managers from selected manufacturing firms have been contacted to ask their agreement for the participations in the survey and select the appropriate time for the interviews. The selection of the firms is based on the purposive sampling method. The subjects are expected to have the expertise or information in related activities targeted by the researcher [28].

Eight manufacturing firms from eight different sectors were randomly selected based on the list obtained from the industry classification of B2B PT Dataindo Inti Swakarsa. Since the focus is on the vulnerability mitigation strategy within Indonesia's industrial environment, the samples choosen are ranging from low tech industries such as shoes and apparel into high tech industries such as automotive and electronic. The samples are medium to large enterprise with at least 100 employees. The purpose of the interviews is not only to get the knowledge related to supply chain vulnerability but also to explore mitigation strategy implemented in their firms. A list of questions based on theoretical and literature approaches are prepared prior to the semi-structured interview. The interviews were primarily conducted with managers dealing with supply chain activities such as supply chain managers, logistics managers, purchasing managers or area managers.

VII. Supply Chain Vulnerability within Indonesia Context

The preliminary survey has been conducted between Juli and September 2009 to get the most relevant issue related to supply chain vulnerability within Indonesia context. The companies are located within Java (Jakarta, Banten, and West Java area) and Sumatera islands. The number of employees in all of the surveyed companies is above 100 and their sales are above Rp 100 billion a year.

Table 2. Respondent Interviewed

Nr.	Positions	Manufacturing sector
1	Logistics Manager	Apparel
2	Quality Assurance Manager	Automotive
3	Supply Chain Manager	Fertilizer
4	Area & Marketing Manager	Food processing
5	Supply Chain Manager	Pre-cast
6	Purchasing Manager	Shoes
7	Purchasing Manager	Electronic equipment
8	Supply Chain Manager	Oil refinery

Managers reveal that the sources of supply chain disruptions could come from supply, demand, internal organization, and environment. Most managers are unfamiliar with the concept of supply chain vulnerability. They consider that supply chain vulnerability means susceptible to experience disruptions. Supply chain vulnerability has been associated with high risk or high exposure within their organizations. However, their perceptions are still consistent with understanding found in the literature. Barnes and Oloruntoba [35] define supply chain vulnerability as a susceptibility to loss because of existing condition due to organizational practices. Supply chain vulnerability means supply chain is at risk, in which the loss or damage is likely to occur [7]. Supply chain vulnerability is measured through the probability and the level impact of the risk [29].

Interviews with managers dealing with supply chain activities indicate that there are vulnerabilities in supply-side and demand-side. The vulnerability also increases because of efficiency efforts in their organizations. They also realize environmental vulnerability such as flood and big waves that may delay material supply and product distribution with less degree of severity. Furthermore, information distortion must be treated accordingly due to many speculation activities related to material and product price that may deviate forecast.

The impact of globalization have significant contribution in creating complexity and dependence within supply chain activities in Indonesian manufacturing. The reliance on global supply source is unavoidable. The development and

the subsequent implementation of global supply source provide many advantages to Indonesian manufacturing. The benefits include amongst others improved product quality and greater product flexibility. All of the surveyed companies indicate global supply source activities.

The use of brokers in global supply source is a common practice since it is quiet difficult to manage procurement in foreign markets. In marketing the products, most of them are highly dependence on their foreign customers as the prime dealers to penetrate the market. These have created vulnerability in supply and demand because of lack of control and visibility. In addition, internally they also acknowledge that the implementation of lean management has exposed their supply chain network more vulnerable to risk.

They realize the importance of mitigation strategy within supply chain to avoid severe disruptions. Mitigation strategy must be purposively developed to reduce supply chain vulnerability. Mutual commitment among supply chain members is very important to build understanding. Sharing information and collaborative approaches are being implemented to improve visibility. Production plants have been designed to allow flexible capacity. Standard components and materials that could be easily found in the market have been used. Mapping material flows is a requirement to control inventory. Inventory threshold has been set up to deal with fluctuated production flows. To reduce dependence on global supply source and maintain quality, supplier development program has become the part of mitigation strategy.

Most managers are unaware with supply chain vulnerability and do not consider too far if disasters happen to their counterparts. Vanany et.al. [34] reveals that the implementation of mitigation strategy among Indonesian firms is considered relatively immature. Some of vulnerability elements are beyond their managerial scopes. Nevertheless, their firms have tried to implement several mitigation efforts to avoid severe supply chain disruptions. Based on the interviews, the dimensions of mitigation strategies in Indonesia environment are supply chain visibility, supply chain flexibility, supplier development, and inventory threshold control. Managers also acknowledge that the implementation of mitigation strategy in line with supply chain development has brought some positive results.

VIII. Conclusion

Preliminary survey in Indonesia reveals that managers related to supply chain activities are not familiar with the concept of supply chain vulnerability. However, they realize that their supply chain networks are exposed to higher risks as the results of globalization, leaning efforts, and organizational constraint. In global activities, the

dependence on their foreign suppliers and customers is high due to their limited organizational sizes and scopes. The bargaining power is not strong enough to accommodate the needs of their firms in global activities.. The complexity is increasing since they use brokers to get component supplies and rely on dealers to penetrate foreign markets. Larger samples are needed to get the real picture of supply chain vulnerability concept within Indonesian manufacturing companies.

References

- [1] Lambert, D.M., Cooper, M.C., and Pagh, J.D. 1998. Supply chain management: Implementation issues and research opportunities. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, Vol.9, Nr.2, 1-19
- [2] Juttner, U., Peck, H., Christopher, M., 2003. Supply chain risk management: outlining an agenda for future research. *International Journal of Logistics: Research and Applications* 6 (4), 197–210.
- [3] Gaonkar, R. and Vismanadham, N. 2006. A Conceptual and Analytical Framework for the Management of Risk in Supply Chain. *Indian Business School*
- [4] Christopher, M., Lee, H.L., 2004. Mitigating supply chain risk through improved confidence. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistic Management* 34 (5), 388-396
- [5] Bozarth, C.C., Warsing, D.P., Flynn, B.B., and Flynn, J.E. 2009. The impact of supply chain complexity on manufacturing plant performance. *Journal of Operations Management* 27 (2009) 78–93
- [6] Grabowski, M., Roberts, K.H., 1997. Risk mitigation in large-scale systems: lessons from high reliability organizations. *California Management Review* 39 (4), 152–162.
- [7] Peck, H., 2005. Drivers of supply chain vulnerability: an integrated framework. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistic Management* 35 (4), 210-232
- [8] Coyle J.J., Bardi E.J., and Langley Jr, C.J. 2008. The management of business logistics: A supply chain perspective. *South Western College Publishing*
- [9] Christopher, M. 2002. Supply Chain Risk and Vulnerability. *Odyssey*, Issue 15, January 2002, the Newsletter for the Cranfield Centre for Logistics and Transportation
- [10] Nagurney, A. 2006. Supply chain network economics: Dynamics of prices, flows, and profit. *Edward Elgar Publishing Limited*, New dimensions in network
- [11] Childerhouse, P., Hermiz, R., Mason-Jones, R., Popp, A., and Towill, D.R. 2003. Information flow in automotive supply chains – identifying and learning to overcome barriers to change. *Industrial Management & Data System*, 103(7), 491-502
- [12] Cucchiella, F. and Gastaldi, M. 2006. Risk management in supply chain: A real option approach. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management* Vol. 17 No.6, 700-720
- [13] Blackhurst, J., Craighead, C.W., and Elkins, D. 2005. An empirical derived agenda of critical research issues for managing supply chain disruptions. *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol.43, No.19, 1 Oktober, 4067-4081
- [14] Zsidisin, G.A. and Smith, M.E. 2005. Managing supply risk with early supplier involvement: A case study and research propositions. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*. Tempe: Fall Vol. 41, Iss. 4; pg. 44, 14 pgs
- [15] Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana (BNPB). 1999. Report, October
- [16] *United States Geological Survey*, 2009
- [17] Unger, K. 2007. Indian ocean to face extreme weather. *Geotimes, arth, energy, and environment news*, March
- [18] Wagner, S.M., and Neshat, N. 2009. Assessing the vulnerability of supply chains using graph theory. *International Journal of Production Economics*. October
- [19] Peck, H. and Juttner, U. 2002. Risk management in the supply chain. *Logistics and Transport Focus*, Vol. 4 No.11, December, pp.17-22
- [20] Lee, H.L., Wolfe, M., 2003. Supply chain security without tears. *Supply Chain Management Review* 7 (1)
- [21] Choi, T.Y., Krause, D.R., 2006. The supply base and its complexity: implications for transaction costs, risks, responsiveness, and innovation. *Journal of Operations Management* 24 (5), 637–652
- [22] Christopher, M. and Rutherford, C. 2004. Creating supply chain resilience through agile six sigma. *Critical Eye*, June-August 2004
- [23] Svensson, G., 2004b. Key areas, causes and contingency planning of corporate vulnerability in supply chains—a qualitative approach. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 34 (9), 728–748.
- [24] Neureuther, B.N.D. and Kenyon, G. 2009. Mitigating Supply Chain Vulnerability. *Journal of Marketing Channels*, Vol. 16 Issue 3, p245-263, 19p
- [25] Drucker, P.F., 1990. The emerging theory of manufacturing. *Harvard Business Review* 68 (3), 94-102
- [26] Porter, M.E. 1985. Competitive advantage. New York: *The Free Press*
- [27] Fiedler, F. E. 1964. A Contingency Model of Leadership Effectiveness. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol.1). 149-190
- [28] Sekaran, U. 2003. Research Methods for Business: a Skill Building Approach. Fourth Edition, *John Wiley & Sons*, Ltd
- [29] Sheffi, Y. and Rice, J. 2005. A Supply Chain View of the Resilient Enterprise. *Sloan Management Review*, September, Vol.47 No.1
- [30] Perrow, C., 1999. Organizing to reduce the vulnerabilities of complexity. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management* 7 (3), 150–155.
- [31] Svensson, G., 2000. A conceptual framework for the analysis of vulnerability in supply chains. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* 30 (9), 731–749.
- [32] Svensson, G., 2002. A conceptual framework of vulnerability in firms' inbound and outbound logistics flows. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* 32 (2), 110–134.
- [33] Wagner, S.M., and Neshat, N. 2009. Assessing the vulnerability of supply chains using graph theory. *International Journal of Production Economics*. October
- [34] Vanany, I., Zailani, S., and Rusdiansyah, A., 2007. Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) in Indonesia Manufacturing Companies: Manager's Perspectives. Paper presented in the 2nd International Conference Operations and Supply Chain Management (OSCM), Bangkok, Thailand
- [35] Barnes, P. and Oloruntoba, R. 2005. Assurance of security in maritime supply chains: conceptual issues of vulnerability and crisis management. *Journal of International Management* 11 (4), 519–540.

Background of Authors

Rofyanto Kurniawan is a doctoral student in the School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia. He received the MBA degree from Nanzan University, Japan and B.Sci. degree from Bandung Institute of Technology. His primary researches of interests are in the areas of operation management and strategy focusing on supply chain related activities.

Suhaiza Hanim Zailani, Ph.D. is an Associate Professor of Operations Management in the School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia. She received her Ph.D and MSc. from the Lancaster University and B.Sci. degree from the Universiti Pertanian Malaysia. Dr Suhaiza's primary research interests are in the areas of operations and production management, product and service quality management and productivity. She has published in International Journal of Benchmarking, International Journal of Operations and Production Management, OR Insight, International Journal of Information Management, Sasin Journal of Management, and Asian Academy of Management Journal.